SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



1	THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon
2	everyone. I appreciate everybody making themselves
3	available to me this afternoon.
4	The Court will call United States of
5	America versus Bentley A. Streett, Criminal Matter
6	No. 14-CR-3609 JB.
7	If counsel will enter their appearances for
8	the Government.
9	MS. MEASE: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
10	Sarah Mease for the United States.
11	THE COURT: Ms. Mease, good afternoon to
12	you.
13	And for the defendant.
14	MR. LINNENBURGER: Good afternoon, Your
15	Honor. Paul Linnenburger on behalf of Mr. Streett,
16	who is present and participating via video from the
17	institution where he is in custody.
18	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Linnenburger,
19	good afternoon to you. Mr. Streett, good afternoon
20	to you. Can you hear me, Mr. Streett?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
22	THE COURT: All right. Well, we were
23	supposed to have a sentencing today. I thought all
24	my work was done for it, but I guess we're going in a
25	different direction now. And so y'all requested a

24

25



general grounds of that would be -- I anticipate that

conversations with the Government as to what the

they will seek to put on evidence as to that second motion. And I apologize on the timing, Your Honor.

After taking -- getting appointed to this case, as quickly as I could, I got through the 5000-some pages of discovery. As well as the Court knows there have been multiple prior counsels. So it took some time to gather up materials from prior counsel and to get through those as well to make sure that I had fully examined these issues relating to a possible withdrawal of the plea.

And so for that reason, Your Honor, I -you know, I would anticipate that by late April or
mid April, briefing will be completed as to both
motions. And if the Court wishes to hear evidence as
to the first motion, I imagine it will be a
significant overlap as to the evidence that would be
presented towards each. The first, Your Honor,
addresses arguments under Rule 11(b)(3). The second
would be what I would call a more traditional motion
to withdraw the plea.

And so with that, Your Honor, I had just hoped for an opportunity to get with the Court, and to make sure that we can have these issues addressed prior to preparations for sentencing. I understand that previously there had been, at least through the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

informal objections process, issues with the PSR had been raised with Probation. And in an effort to preserve some resources, I have not yet delved into fully -- although I have in my review, and I anticipate there would be some significant objections related to the PSR -- but I did not want to expend those resources, if ultimately that would be unnecessary due to a granting of a motion to withdraw the plea, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, I'm not asking you to argue the motion, but what is -- a three-sentence paragraph -- the basis for him wanting to withdraw in the first motion?

MR. LINNENBURGER: Your Honor, in the first motion, boiled down to its essence, we have argued that there is a lack of factual basis as to one of

MR. LINNENBURGER: Your Honor, in the first motion, boiled down to its essence, we have argued that there is a lack of factual basis as to one of the counts that was included in the plea, under Rule 11(b)(3), and I that that provides a fair and just reason for withdrawal.

And the second, as I said, will be a more traditional analysis of the Tenth Circuit factors related to Rule 11(d).

THE COURT: Early in my career I would always grant motions to withdraw, and it was just -- it was just a train wreck. I just saw train wreck

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



after train wreck, with men spending the rest of their lives in prison because they decided to withdraw from plea agreements and go to trial, and then literally get life sentences after that.

I guess I just -- you know, I haven't studied everything in preparation for a sentencing because we keep getting close, but never get there. But I just have a real foreboding of Mr. Streett wanting to get out of the plea agreement. Because my experience has taught me that it's usually -- I'm just watching a train wreck occur. I'm sure you've talked to him. You're an experienced counsel. You've probably seen the same thing. It's just usually pretty ugly when we try to go to trial on something like this, and people walk away from a plea agreement.

MR. LINNENBURGER: Your Honor, not to get into -- without getting into any privileged conversations, I can represent to the Court that I have had numerous discussions with Mr. Streett as to the potential ramifications of seeking to withdraw the plea, and if it ultimately got there, the potential ramifications of a successful withdrawal of the plea.

THE COURT: Mr. Streett had concerns before



he pled guilty about the factual basis of one or more of the counts, because he wrote me letters telling me that Mr. Lopez yelled at him and they had disagreements about the factual basis. So it's a little hard to say that that issue did not exist before Mr. Streett went into -- I believe in front of a magistrate judge -- and went ahead and decided to plead to the factual basis of those. And like I said, I have not studied the plea agreement, but I will bet that, even though -- if he were successful in withdrawing his plea or plea agreement that those representations that he made to the magistrate judge I have seen could be used against him at the trial. that. And that's what makes withdrawing from a plea so ugly in this district.

MR. LINNENBURGER: Your Honor, and I -again, without -- avoiding getting into any
privileged matters, either communications between
myself and Mr. Streett, or work product issues -- is
that part of the reason that it has taken some time
in the filing of these motions was, I have been
working through my duties as -- of candor to the
Court -- and to ensure that the arguments that were
presented that I was comfortable that they were
viable, Your Honor.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Linnenburger.

Ms. Mease, your thoughts on any issues here? And then maybe I can work with y'all to get a date set before we leave here today to have a hearing on these two motions if there are going to be two.

Ms. Mease?

MS. MEASE: Thank you, Your Honor. In the Government's response to the first motion to withdraw, I filed a lengthy response, and obviously we're not arguing that now and I'm not going to get into the weeds on that, but I did suggest that an evidentiary hearing was not necessary.

Without seeing Mr. Linnenburger's new motion, second motion, I can't really take a position on whether I would need to put on evidence. So I'm a little hesitant to commit to a date here, because I would need to confer with Mr. Lopez, because he would likely be the party that I would put on to testify regarding, you know, plea negotiations and the discussions he had with Mr. Streett leading into the plea.

So perhaps if the Court could give us a couple date options, we could get that reserved for at least argument, and I could have Mr. Lopez try to





keep that open in the event I do need to call him as a witness.

The other thing I'll mention to the Court is Mr. Lopez and I, after the plea, we had some discussions that I think may be beneficial here. were considering proposing for the Court some type of sentencing scheduling order. And I think that may be helpful here. I know in some ways that's putting the cart before the horse, when we haven't had a ruling on the motion to withdraw. But it may be beneficial to at least lay out a timeline for a hearing, at least from the parties on legal arguments on the motion to withdraw, with the possibility that there could be evidence there, depending on what's included in Mr. Linnenburger's second motion, a deadline for formal objections to the PSR, and a deadline for a sentencing memoranda, and then the sentencing hearing.

This case, as the Court knows, has just been pending for such a long time, I would really like to keep things moving. And I think the best way to do that is just to lay out some firm deadlines that the parties can work toward, with the understanding that, if for some reason the Court were to grant the motion to withdraw -- which I so far

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

have seen nothing that would warrant that -- that we would need to revisit the scheduling order. But I think we need to just keep moving forward as best we can here.

THE COURT: All right. Well, let's circle back to some of those issues in a moment, Ms. Mease.

Mr. Linnenburger, when do you think these two motions -- assuming there will be motions -- the second motion -- when do you think they will be fully briefed and ready to go?

MR. LINNENBURGER: Your Honor, I don't believe -- assuming a normal briefing schedule for a response, and obviously if Ms. Mease requires additional time, I would be more than willing to agree to that, but I suspect that she would not -- she may not. But I would think that by the end of April it is likely that full briefing would be accomplished. I think that following the response I could get a reply in relatively short order, and that we'd be prepared for a hearing on these, essentially, anytime in May the Court may have available, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask Ms. Rotonda to look at the calendar for the first week of May and see what we have.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Do you have an estimate as to how long you 1 2 think the hearing would last for these two motions, 3 Mr. Linnenburger? 4 MR. LINNENBURGER: Your Honor, my best estimate at this time would be that it would be best 5 6 to set aside half a day. I can represent to the 7 Court that I am almost certain that the Government will seek to put on evidence. And so -- and I 8 anticipate that it would be prior counsel. And so I 9 10 would think half a day would be safe, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: All right. Does that estimate 12 sound about right to you, Ms. Mease? MS. MEASE: Your Honor, I think so. 13 I know 14 the Court recalls there were two attorneys representing Mr. Streett ahead of the plea, Mr. Lopez 15 16 and Mr. Zimmerman. If Mr. Linnenburger is confident 17 that I will need to put on witnesses, there is a 18 chance I may put on two. I don't know if Mr. Streett 19 intends to testify. If Mr. Linnenburger is prepared 20 to speak to that now, that would help. But 21 otherwise, I would almost suggest setting aside a 22 day, just to account for extra testimony, if it's 23 needed. 24 THE COURT: Okay. So what do we have that 25 week of the 3rd through the 7th?



(A discussion was held off the record.)

THE COURT: All right. Let me give you some options, and maybe this fits in to somebody's suggestion -- it might have been Ms. Mease's -- that I give you some backups. I want to leave here with something set, but you can also call back and talk to Ms. Rotonda if something else works out.

The first -- on the 3rd, 4th, and 5th of May, I'm in a bench trial in a case that the parties, after they got my ruling on some legal issues, have decided to -- instead of having a jury trial, have a bench trial. So the 3rd, 4th, and 5th. long ways off. You know, it's a civil case. are it will settle, but I just don't know. could give you a backup there if you wanted it, and then we could just see how it goes. The 6th and 7th, I have Daubert hearings in that Padilla case. the SNM case that is Phase 2. It's the one where they're bringing some charges against people because of one of the witnesses in the first round being murdered up in Las Vegas. So those are set there. Again, I don't know, there are a lot of moving parts in that case, too. So I could give you backup on those. On the 11th and 12th; on the 11th I have a two-motion hearing in a civil case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

automobile accident case; just don't know how long that will take and what -- I haven't looked at the motions.

On the 12th, I have one of these releases from prison, compassionate releases, for Tommy Rodella up in Las Vegas. He's about the last two years of his term.

The 13th, did you say it was free? Oh, I have two pretrial conferences. So here's what I could do: I could give you backups on one or more of those dates. The 11th and 12th, I could just set you sometime a little bit later; wouldn't give you a full day, but would give you something. If it turned out one of those went away, then we could bump you forward and give you a full day. The 13th I've got two pretrial conferences. Still a long ways out. They may go away. Even if they didn't go away, you could come in and work around them during the day. Any of those, one or more of those dates or options appeal to you, Ms. Mease?

MS. MEASE: Looking at my calendar, I can make any of those work, so I'll put those dates in an email to Mr. Lopez and Mr. Zimmerman.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. What do they look like -- what would be your preference, Mr.





Linnenburger?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LINNENBURGER: I'm completely -- at the moment I'm completely open on all of those days. I think it probably would make the most sense to go with some of the dates the second week. It sounds as those may be more likely. And obviously, I'll defer to the Court, but it sounds as though we may be more likely to be assured a time in those dates than we would for either the bench trial or the Daubert hearing the week before.

THE COURT: Okay. Why don't I do this: I don't think that compassionate release will take too long. I'll have read everything. Does that start at 8:30?

THE CLERK: Yes.

on the 12th, so we'll have something in place. Then y'all just stay in touch with Ms. Rotonda. And Ms. Mease, if you find out that Mr. Lopez, Mr. Zimmerman aren't available, then you can work to get another one. I think it would be better if we got something on the calendar so other things don't start filling it up, if I get a break on a trial or a Daubert hearing or something. Does that work for you, Ms. Mease, if we do that, 10:00 on the 12th, right at the



1	moment?
2	MS. MEASE: Yes. I like that plan, Your
3	Honor.
4	THE COURT: Is that all right with you, Mr.
5	Linnenburger?
6	MR. LINNENBURGER: It is, Your Honor.
7	Thank you.
8	THE COURT: And we'll stay fluid. If that
9	doesn't work for Mr. Lopez or Mr. Zimmerman, call
10	Ms. Rotonda and we'll give you another it might be
11	a backup, but I still think it would be better if we
12	get something out there than not have something set,
13	even if we consciously know it's a backup.
14	So what other dates would you like as far
15	as your sort of timetable to sentencing, assuming
16	that I don't grant the motions and I'll keep an
17	open mind, I'll read everything and be prepared, so
18	I'm not foreclosing it by just setting a timetable
19	but what other dates would you like, Ms. Mease?
20	MS. MEASE: Your Honor, if I can ask how
21	long you would likely need following an evidentiary
22	hearing to push out an order, and then we could go
23	from there?
24	THE COURT: My guess would be that I
25	probably can rule orally, and then use the time



between that and the sentencing, if I deny the 1 2 motions, to write the opinion. So I would imagine 3 with a motion to withdraw it's either going to be 4 something that I just feel like, Yeah, I need to grant it, or it's something I need to deny. 5 don't think it will be something that probably I need 6 7 to make a decision while I'm writing it. Does that make sense? 8 With the understanding 9 MS. MEASE: Yes. 10 that I don't anticipate filing objections to the 11 PSR -- that's going to fall, I believe, mostly to Mr. 12 Linnenburger -- I would say maybe 30 days out from our hearing on the motion to withdraw, we would aim 13 14 for an objection deadline, and then another 30 days past that for sentencing memoranda. And then maybe 15 16 two weeks following the sentencing memoranda we could 17 aim for a sentencing date. That would put us 18 sentencing, if we get there, mid to late July, if I'm 19 estimating correctly. 20 THE COURT: All right. Does that sound about right to you, Mr. Linnenburger? 21 22 MR. LINNENBURGER: Yeah, that sounds fine,

Your Honor. And if the Court or the Government desires a shorter timeframe, I think the 30 days for objections would be appropriate. I don't know if



23

24

thereafter we would require another month for 1 2 sentencing memorandums. As the Court knows from my 3 practice there tends to be some overlap. And when 4 I'm looking at sentencing, I tend to be looking at 5 the broader picture, as well as focusing it on 6 specifics. 7 Having said that, Your Honor, if the Government wishes to have additional time -- I 8 understand that this is a complicated sentencing in 9 10 terms of the statutory sentencing factors, and there 11 is a lot of moving parts -- and I would have no 12 objection to the timetable suggested by Ms. Mease. 13 Although, again, if we want to shorten that some, I 14 am fine with that as well. MS. MEASE: Your Honor, the parties can 15 confer and put together a proposed scheduling order 16 17 for the Court. That may be easier than trying to 18 hammer out dates now. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Is that all right with you, Mr. Linnenburger? 20 21 MR. LINNENBURGER: That's fine, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: All right. So I'll just look 23 forward to an order then from you. All right. 24 you think of anything else we need to discuss while



we're together? Anything else I can do for you

1 today, Ms. Mease? 2 I don't believe so, Your Honor. MS. MEASE: One thing I'll just flag is that all of the 3 4 victims in this case reside out of state; some out of 5 country, and -- or one, I should say, out of country. 6 I do know that at least two wish to speak at 7 sentencing, if we get to a sentencing hearing. so I will be moving to have those statements provided 8 remotely, which -- in basically the same format we're 9 10 having this hearing. So I just wanted to flag that 11 for the Court and Mr. Linnenburger. And I don't 12 anticipate that would be an issue, but if it is --13 because I wasn't going to try to have folks flying in 14 from all over to make a victim impact statement. 15 if that does become an issue or that's going to raise 16 an objection on Mr. Streett's part, I would like to 17 know sooner rather than later. 18 THE COURT: Do you anticipate any objection 19 on that, Mr. Linnenburger? 20 MR. LINNENBURGER: I would have to confer with my client on that, Your Honor. But I can get 21 22 with Ms. Mease as soon as possible if there is going 23 to be an issue with that. 24 THE COURT: Does that work for you, Ms. 25



Mease?

1	MS. MEASE: Yes.
2	THE COURT: All right. Anything else we
3	need to discuss while we're together? Anything else
4	I can do for you, Ms. Mease?
5	MS. MEASE: Not from the Government, Your
6	Honor. Thank you.
7	THE COURT: How about you, Mr.
8	Linnenburger?
9	MR. LINNENBURGER: Not from me, Your Honor.
10	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, am I allowed to
11	say something?
12	THE COURT: Let me finish the hearing,
13	Mr. Streett. I'll ask Mr. Linnenburger this
14	question: I did read some of the correspondence that
15	Mr. Streett has sent to me about a gun. And did that
16	get resolved, Mr. Linnenburger, as to Mr. Streett's
17	satisfaction?
18	THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
19	MR. LINNENBURGER: I don't believe it has,
20	Your Honor. But I have not had specific conversation
21	with him about that, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Well, you might pass on to him
23	that, you know, just because I have jurisdiction over
24	Mr. Streett, and just because I have jurisdiction
25	over the FBI, doesn't mean I have jurisdiction over



things like City of Albuquerque and his mother and his ex-girlfriend, and those things. That's largely probably a civil lawsuit, and it probably is not going to have any federal nexus. So just because he can't have a gun, doesn't mean I can resolve disputes between third parties out there about a gun. can't have a gun. But that doesn't mean I have authority under the Constitution or over the power that Congress has given us to -- for me to decide those things. So he can write me letters about it, and go into great detail about who has got what gun out there and stuff. But those are -- those involve parties that aren't before the Court. And I would be abusing my powers as a judge to start ordering the FBI to go do things that -- telling the executive branch what to do about a gun that doesn't really come before the Court. So I don't think there is anything I can do about that gun. And so it probably is not a good use of his time to write me letters about it. And it's not a good use of my time to be reading about things that I don't have the ability to do anything about, and will not do anything about. Because I don't think it would be proper for me to get involved in that.



THE DEFENDANT:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, Your Honor, the main

issue I had with it, law enforcement agencies report 1 2 that the gun had been stolen. It was thought to be 3 with my ex-girlfriend, and she was supposed to turn 4 them over to my family. And my mom tried to contact every possible law enforcement that had jurisdiction, 5 state police, local sheriffs, and APD, and none of 6 7 them would even entertain a report as to what had been stolen. And that was the issue. 8 She complained 9 that she could prove ownership, but no one would take 10 a report because it was stolen. 11 THE COURT: Yeah. Well --12 MR. LINNENBURGER: Your Honor, if it

MR. LINNENBURGER: Your Honor, if it remains an issue, I will discuss that with him. And I appreciate the Court's guidance on that. I will have those discussions with Mr. Streett.

THE COURT: Yeah. I mean, I read all that,
Mr. Streett, but that's just not part of this case.
And I just can't start sweeping in everything that
involves Bentley Streett into my case.

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: So you can write me those letters, and I'll try to read them, but -- to be informed about you as we try to bring this case to a conclusion -- but again, I know you've heard me say this many times, but, you know, you've got some



13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



issues that you can take to the Tenth Circuit. as long as we keep doing motions to withdraw and things like that, you're never going to get to the Tenth Circuit with those issues. I've worked hard on I know you disagree with them, and that's fine. People disagree with me all the time. And the that's the way our system works. But as long as we keep working with these motions to withdraw and things like that and get sidetracked about guns and stuff like that, we're never going to keep our eye on the fact that I need to sentence you so that you can get to the Tenth Circuit. That's the thing that I think you ultimately want. And the sooner we get to that point, I think it's better for you and all of us to bring it to a conclusion here. Because I've done just about the best I can. And I need to get you up to the Tenth Circuit so you can make arguments about your two big motions that you have in the conditional appeal up there. But you'll have to make a decision. I mean, I know it's not my decision to make. your decision to make. But I do sort of remind you that we're continuing to delay getting you an ultimate resolution of those two things that have been important to you to be a conditional appeal. THE DEFENDANT: Thanks, Your Honor.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Getting anything overturned in the Tenth Circuit for that matter I'm not putting much stock in that.

THE COURT: Well, if you read the paper this morning, they got reversed by the Supreme Court this morning. So it happens.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did read that.

THE COURT: Did you have anything else, Mr.

Streett?

THE DEFENDANT: I did, as far as I wanted to make sure that any hearings or anything we have were in person. I was not aware of this conference until minutes before I was brought in here. But otherwise, I do not consent to having any kind of remote hearings, anything especially that involves witnesses or evidence or anything like that. So I don't think counsel and I have gotten that far and discussed that. But since the Government raised it, I do not consent to any kind of remote hearings.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, sort of unscrambling that for a minute, you don't have to consent to a video sentencing or things like that.

So I understand that. But do you have any problem with, if we get to a sentencing, with these two victims testifying or speaking to the Court by video?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor, I do.





THE COURT: Okay. And what's the basis of your objection, Mr. Streett?

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Without getting too much information, I have not discussed with counsel. I did read the Government's, at some point in reference to one of the victims. And much of that information was incorrect and untruthful. And so I have not a chance to discuss with counsel if he needs to allow to cross-examine this witness, or him raise any of those inconsistencies with what they stated. And I just don't want those untruthful statements to be considered truth in fact, when in fact they're not without anyone challenging it.

THE COURT: Well, I do encourage you to talk to Mr. Linnenburger. But at a sentencing it's typically not the case that you're entitled to cross-examine. Nobody is going to cross-examine you, and you get to speak in court. And nobody typically gets to cross-examine the victims. So it's one of those things where we just come and everybody just gives their information to the Court, and the Court does the best it can to come up with a sentence that reflects the factors in 18 USC Section 3553(a). So it's adversarial in that it's you against the United States. But it's not typically adversarial as to the



victims. They just come and speak. So oftentimes I get by letters -- I don't usually have people objecting to people calling in, listening, and things like that. So it's a little bit different hearing than, you know, hearings like suppression hearings and things like that, where -- or trials -- where people do get a right to cross-examine. about it. Mr. Linnenburger can look at the issue, and then if there is not an agreement, then I guess I can look further at the issue. But I have not had a defendant object before so it's not something I have bottomed out on before in my life.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, some of that information has already been provided, and I've already been able to review, and it's contradictory with the evidence in discovery. It's a little harder for someone to sit in a courtroom and look you in the face and lie about it than they are in a room 1,000 miles away.

MR. LINNENBURGER: Your Honor, to the extent any such objection from Mr. Streett relies on any -- or is tied up the objections to the presentence report, we will obviously address them through that channel.

At the same time, Your Honor, I intend to



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

have discussions with Mr. Streett as to what the sentencing process entails, what a sentencing hearing details. And in that conversation, I would discuss with him the Government's request. And if there are objections remaining at that time, I will notify Ms. Mease, and let the Government know the basis of any objections, so that, if need be, we can get the issue before the Court in advance of sentencing, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And, you know, I'm not imposing this, but there might be a compromise that could be Maybe the victims could write out their This often happens that victims read me statement. something here in the courtroom, and they read a statement, and they agree that everything they're going to say is in the statement, and they'll just read that statement to me in open court. But they've got to send it to you X number of days before the hearing so that Mr. Streett can look at it and be prepared to respond to it. Something like that is a possibility. I'm not saying that's the only solution, but it's something y'all might give some thought to. And that way it addresses Mr. Streett's concerns and it also addresses the distance concerns for the victims.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

All right. Anything else, Mr. Streett? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. I've been trying to get filings from counsel. I have not received anything after document 230. And I have not had notice communication to counsel to get those, nor have I seen those. I still haven't received anything filed from 231 on. Mr. Linnenburger? THE COURT: Okay. MR. LINNENBURGER: Your Honor, without getting into any conversations between Mr. Streett and my office, I will say that documents that are appropriate for Mr. Streett to have have been sent to

him. He may not have received them as of yet, but

they have been sent to him.

As this Court knows, there is a protective order in place. And so there are certain documents that I don't believe it's anything on the record or that has been filed in the last few days or weeks. But there are certain things that I am not permitted to provide to Mr. Streett. And I just want the Court to know that I'm aware of that, and that we have made sure that we have not provided any of the materials that are subject to the protective order.

THE COURT: All right. Anything else, Mr.

25 | Streett?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23



THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, Your Honor. 1 2 aware of what counsel just said. And I'm not asking 3 for anything that falls under the protective order. 4 I'm just asking for anything that's been filed so I 5 can review it. 6 THE COURT: All right. Anything else, Mr. 7 Streett? THE DEFENDANT: I don't believe so, Your 8 9 Honor. 10 THE COURT: All right. 11 THE DEFENDANT: I do want a transcript of 12 this hearing. I don't know what I have to do to 13 request it or be able to get a transcript of it. 14 THE COURT: All right. I'm sure Mr. Linnenburger, if y'all decide you want a transcript, 15 16 can make arrangements for that. 17 All right. If there is nothing else, 18 y'all -- I appreciate your presentations. We've got 19 some dates set. And we'll try to work with each 20 other to make them work for everybody involved. 21 Y'all have a good afternoon, good weekend. 22 Be safe out there, okay? 23 THE DEFENDANT: One other thing, part of 24 the reason for not wanting to have the remote 25 hearings is I can't confer with counsel on



anything -- you're asking me questions -- because

it's open, and especially anything that has any

significance. Beyond this type of hearing, I'd like

to have counsel present so I can ask him when I have

questions or issues with anything. And that's part

of the concern about not being remote.

THE COURT: Well, that's fine. It's your

choice at sentencing whether you want to be here or not. But there is a function that we use all the time, that we can give you -- just you and Mr.

Linnenburger talk to each other with these government Zooms that we use. So counsel and defendants talk all the time privately, in privileged ways. So it can be done, and it is done fairly frequently. But that's something for you to think about down the road if we get to the point of sentencing.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. I'm just not aware. I've never done any of this before, so I'm not sure how that works.

THE COURT: You just ask for it and we put you and Mr. Linnenburger in a chat room, and y'all talk, and we can't hear you.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

THE COURT: All right. Y'all have a good afternoon. Be safe out there.

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24







1 C-E-R-T-I-F-I-C-A-T-E2 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 3 4 DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO 5 6 7 I, Jennifer Bean, FAPR, RDR, CRR, RMR, CCR, Official Court Reporter for the State of New Mexico, 8 9 do hereby certify that the foregoing pages constitute 10 a true transcript of proceedings had before the said Court, held in the District of New Mexico, in the 11 12 matter therein stated. 13 In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my 14 hand on April 23, 2021. 15 16 17 18 Jennifer Bean, FAPR, RMR-RDR-CCR 19 Certified Realtime Reporter United States Court Reporter 20 NM CCR #94 333 Lomas, Northwest 21 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 Phone: (505) 348-2283 22 Fax: (505) 843-9492 23 24

